

CLIENT NEWSLETTER



This month we look at several important developments and practical compliance issues affecting individuals, businesses, trusts and SMSF trustees. The Government's proposed 30% minimum tax on discretionary trusts could have significant implications for some family groups, particularly where trusts distribute income to companies, although the rules remain subject to consultation and aren't final yet. We also clear up some common misconceptions regarding deductions for travel expenses and overtime meal expenses in light of the ATO's new 2026–27 travel and overtime meal allowance rates. With the Commonwealth penalty unit increasing from 1 July 2026, we highlight how this change could increase the cost of late lodgements and other compliance mistakes. Finally, we look at SMSF property valuations and related-party leases, and the importance of having appropriate evidence ready to support market values and arm's-length rental arrangements during the annual audit.

INSIDE

Discretionary trusts and the proposed 30% minimum tax	1
New reasonable travel and overtime meal rates	3
Penalty units increase from 1 July 2026	5
SMSF and property – preparing for a smooth audit.....	6

Discretionary trusts and the proposed 30% minimum tax

Discretionary trusts, often referred to as family trusts, have been a popular structure for Australian families and businesses for many decades. They are commonly used to operate family businesses, hold investments and assist with succession planning. Their flexibility, together with asset protection and estate planning benefits, has made them an attractive option for many groups.

In the 2026–27 Federal Budget, the Government announced a significant proposed change. From 1 July 2028, trustees of discretionary trusts would generally be required to pay a minimum tax of 30% on the trust's taxable income.

According to the Government, the proposal is intended to better align the tax paid on trust income with that paid by salary and wage earners, while reducing opportunities to split income between family members. However, the announcement has generated considerable debate. Professional bodies, business groups and tax advisers have expressed concerns that the changes could increase complexity and compliance costs for many genuine family businesses and investment structures.

How the proposal is expected to work

Under the proposal, the trustee would generally pay the minimum 30% tax on the trust's taxable income.

Where trust income is distributed to individual beneficiaries or certain other non-corporate beneficiaries, those beneficiaries would generally receive a non-refundable tax offset recognising the tax already paid by the trustee. This is intended to reduce the risk of the same income being taxed twice, but while maintaining the impact of the 30% minimum tax rate.

Importantly, the minimum tax would not apply to every trust. The Government has indicated that a number of trusts would be excluded, including fixed trusts, widely held trusts, complying superannuation funds, charitable trusts, deceased estates, special disability trusts and genuine testamentary trusts. Primary production income and certain income relating to vulnerable minors would also be excluded.

The Government has also stated that more than 90% of small businesses are not expected to be affected. While that may be reassuring for some taxpayers, there are still some important issues that could affect family groups using discretionary trusts.

What could this mean in practice?

One area likely to receive close attention is the use of companies as beneficiaries of family trusts.

Many family groups have historically distributed some trust income to a company. This can provide flexibility in managing cash flow, retaining profits within the business and funding future growth. Under the proposed rules, however, the corporate beneficiary would not receive a tax offset for the tax already paid by the trustee. In many cases this will mean that income distributed from a discretionary trust to a company would be subject to double taxation.

Another practical impact of the proposed change is that some family groups may find it more difficult to fully utilise existing tax losses.

While the impact will depend on each group's circumstances, the proposed minimum tax is likely to reduce some of the flexibility that currently exists when managing taxable income across a family structure within many groups.

The Government has also proposed a temporary three-year rollover period, commencing from 1 July 2027, to help restructure into alternative business structures, such as companies or fixed trusts, without triggering immediate income tax or capital gains tax consequences.

While this may assist some groups, restructuring is rarely straightforward. Depending on the circumstances, it might be necessary to consider things like stamp duty, loan approvals, financing arrangements, contract changes, licensing requirements and professional advice. Even relatively simple restructures can involve significant time and cost, so careful planning will be important.

The rules are not yet final

At this stage, the proposal remains subject to consultation. Treasury released a consultation paper in July 2026 seeking feedback on a range of design issues, including how the new rules

would operate in different situations. Final legislation has not yet been introduced, meaning aspects of the proposal could still change before the rules become law.

For this reason, most groups utilising discretionary trust structures should avoid making major structural decisions based solely on the announcement. Instead, it is sensible to monitor developments while considering whether existing structures are likely to remain appropriate if the proposal proceeds.

What should you do now?

For many families, discretionary trusts are about much more than tax. They can continue to provide valuable asset protection, succession planning and business flexibility. The proposed changes do not remove those benefits, nor do they prevent discretionary trusts from continuing to be used.

However, the proposal does have the potential to change the tax outcomes for some family groups, particularly those with more complex structures or those that regularly distribute income to companies.

With the proposed start date still some time away, there is an opportunity to pause and carefully understand how the changes may affect your circumstances and consider whether any planning or restructuring might be appropriate. As the legislation develops, we can help you assess the impact on your business or investment structure and determine whether any action is warranted.

New reasonable travel and overtime meal rates

The ATO has released its updated reasonable travel and overtime meal allowance rates for the 2026–27 income year in Taxation Determination TD 2026/4.

The overtime meal allowance has increased to \$40.00, while the reasonable amounts for domestic and overseas travel have also been updated based on salary levels and travel destinations.

Although these figures are widely publicised each year, they are often misunderstood. A common misconception is that employees can automatically claim a tax deduction up to the ATO's published rates. In reality, the rules are much narrower, and applying them incorrectly could lead to deductions being denied as well as interest and penalties.

A travel allowance is the starting point

The ATO's reasonable amounts only become relevant if an employee receives a genuine travel or overtime meal allowance from their employer.

Generally, an allowance should:

- Be paid specifically to cover work-related travel or overtime meal expenses;
- Relate to particular work trips or overtime worked, rather than being a general additional payment;

- Be shown separately from normal salary or wages; and
- Be intended to help cover expenses the employee is expected to incur.

If an amount has simply been built into an employee's normal salary package or is not identified as a separate allowance, the ATO's reasonable rates generally do not apply. Instead, the normal substantiation rules will usually apply to any deduction claimed.

The reasonable rates are not an automatic deduction

One of the most common misunderstandings is that receiving a travel allowance allows an employee to automatically claim the ATO's published rate as a tax deduction.

This is not how the rules operate.

Employees can generally only claim the amount they actually spend on deductible work-related travel or overtime meal expenses. The ATO's reasonable amounts simply mean that, in certain circumstances, employees may not need to keep a receipt for every specific expense.

Importantly, the expenses must still have been incurred and they must relate to work-related activities.

Good records are still essential

Even where a genuine travel allowance has been paid, employees should still keep sufficient records to demonstrate that they incurred the expenses and that their claim is reasonable.

Useful records may include:

- A diary recording work trips and overnight travel;
- Details of meals and incidental expenses incurred while travelling;
- Bank or credit card statements showing the expenses were personally paid;

- A representative sample of receipts; and
- Where travel involves six or more consecutive nights away from home, a travel diary recording the dates, locations and purpose of the travel.

While receipts may not always be required, relying solely on the ATO's published rates without any supporting evidence could expose you to unnecessary scrutiny if your return is reviewed.

Practical tips for employees and employers

If you receive a travel or overtime meal allowance, it is worth checking that the arrangement satisfies the ATO's requirements before claiming a deduction.

Some practical steps include:

- Review your payslip. Check that the allowance is separately identified rather than being included in ordinary salary or wages.
- Keep records throughout the year. Maintaining a simple travel diary and retaining some supporting documents is much easier than trying to recreate the information months later.
- Only claim what you actually spend. The ATO's reasonable amounts are not a target or standard deduction. They simply provide a benchmark for when the normal receipt requirements may be relaxed.
- Take extra care on longer trips. If you are away from home for six or more consecutive nights, additional travel diary requirements will generally apply.

A little preparation can avoid problems later

The updated reasonable amounts provide a useful guide for employers and employees during the 2026–27 income year, but they

should not be viewed as an automatic entitlement to a tax deduction.

Understanding how the rules operate, keeping appropriate records and claiming only genuine work-related expenses can significantly reduce the risk of problems if the ATO reviews your tax return.

If you or your employees receive travel or overtime meal allowances, now is a good opportunity to review your current arrangements. We can help you confirm whether the allowances meet the ATO's requirements and what records should be kept to support any future claims.

Penalty units increase from 1 July 2026

From 1 July 2026, the value of a Commonwealth penalty unit increased from \$330 to \$364. While this may sound like a minor administrative change, it has a direct impact on many ATO penalties, increasing the cost of a range of compliance failures.

A penalty unit is simply the method used under Commonwealth law to calculate many fines and administrative penalties. Rather than specifying a fixed dollar amount, the legislation often refers to a certain number of penalty units. As the value of a penalty unit increases, so too do the penalties that rely on it.

The new value applies to breaches that occur on or after 1 July 2026. Earlier breaches continue to be assessed using the previous rate.

Where the increase is likely to be felt

Many of the ATO's administrative penalties are based on penalty units, meaning the increase flows directly through to the amount payable.

Failure to lodge on time

One of the most common penalties applies where tax returns, activity statements or other required documents are lodged late.

The base penalty is generally one penalty unit for every 28 days (or part of 28 days) that a document remains outstanding, up to a maximum of five penalty units.

For a small entity, this means the maximum base penalty has increased from \$1,650 to \$1,820. Higher penalties may apply to medium and large entities, while significant global entities are subject to much larger penalty amounts.

False or misleading statements

Providing incorrect information to the ATO can also result in penalties.

Where there is no tax shortfall, the law provides for base penalties of 20, 40 or 60 penalty units, depending on the circumstances and the taxpayer's level of care.

At the new penalty unit value, these base penalties have increased to \$7,280, \$14,560 and \$21,840 respectively, before taking into account any reductions or increases that may apply.

Self-managed super funds

Trustees of self-managed superannuation funds (SMSFs) should also be aware of the higher penalty amounts.

A range of SMSF administrative penalties are calculated using penalty units. For example, some breaches that previously attracted a penalty of \$19,800 (60 penalty units) now carry a penalty of \$21,840.

Importantly, these penalties are generally imposed on each individual trustee rather than the fund itself. This means the total cost can increase significantly where a fund has multiple

individual trustees, and the penalties cannot usually be paid from the assets of the superannuation fund.

Other obligations, such as certain record-keeping requirements, tax invoice obligations and some superannuation guarantee penalties, may also be affected by the higher penalty unit value.

Why this matters

For most taxpayers, these penalties are entirely avoidable.

Late lodgements, poor record keeping and incorrect information remain some of the most common reasons businesses and individuals incur ATO penalties. While the increase in penalty units may not seem substantial on its own, the cost can add up quickly where there are multiple outstanding obligations or repeated compliance issues.

It is also worth remembering that ATO penalties are generally not tax deductible, meaning they must be paid from after-tax income.

The good news is that the ATO will often consider remitting penalties (in part or full) where there are genuine mitigating circumstances, reasonable care has been taken, or a voluntary disclosure is made before the issue is identified by the ATO. Addressing problems early typically results in a better outcome than waiting until formal compliance action begins.

Practical steps to reduce your risk

There are several simple steps that can help minimise the risk of penalties:

- Lodge on time. Providing information to us well before due dates gives enough time to prepare accurate returns and meet lodgement deadlines.
- Keep good records. Accurate and up-to-date records make it easier to prepare

returns correctly and support your tax positions if questions arise.

- Review your compliance regularly. If you operate a business or manage an SMSF, periodic reviews can identify issues before they become costly.
- Seek advice early. If you think you've made a mistake or have fallen behind with your tax obligations, speaking with us as soon as possible will generally provide more options than waiting for the ATO to contact you.

A timely reminder

The increase in penalty units is a timely reminder that the cost of tax non-compliance continues to rise. While the higher penalties are intended to encourage timely and accurate compliance, they also reinforce the value of good record keeping and proactive tax management.

If you have any concerns about outstanding lodgements, record-keeping obligations or any other tax compliance matter, please contact us. We can help you address issues early and minimise the risk of unnecessary penalties.

SMSF and property – preparing for a smooth audit

For many SMSF trustees, property is one of the most significant assets held by their SMSF. Unlike personally owned assets, there is a legal requirement that all SMSF assets are valued each 30 June. This can be a simple process for

assets that have a ready market like listed shares, however the process for other assets like property can be more onerous.

Trustees are responsible for determining the market value of fund assets. After your annual financial statements are prepared your fund auditor will need to see objective and supportable evidence that backs up how you have arrived at the market value.

Trustees have the option to use a qualified independent valuer for this and should consider this where an asset represents a significant part of the fund's value or might be difficult to value.

Where trustees choose not to use an independent valuer, they will need to be able to support asset valuations with evidence from multiple sources. Typically, for property this may include:

- Recent comparable sales – Generally at least 3 and the properties should be genuinely comparable in terms of size and location.
- A real estate agent appraisal that also includes comparable sales.
- Net income yields for commercial property (generally not sufficient evidence on its own).

The ATO includes some helpful guidance on this in their Guide to valuing SMSF assets.

Where an SMSF holds property that meets the business real property (BRP) definition it is possible that this property can be leased to a business that is operated by a member or a related party of the SMSF. However, the fact that an arrangement like this is permitted does not mean the fund trustees can charge a non-market rate of rent.

When a rental arrangement is entered into with a related party of the super fund, that arrangement should be on arm's length (commercial) terms and this should be supported by a rental appraisal. An easy way to

think about this is – do all the lease terms reflect an arrangement that would be agreed to if the tenant was an unrelated third party?

To evidence that a related party arrangement is on arm's length (commercial) terms an auditor should be provided with;

- A properly documented lease;
- A rent appraisal when the lease was first entered into;
- Evidence that the arrangement is operating based on the terms of the lease; and
- Evidence that where a prior lease term has expired the terms have been reset to market value – backed up by a new rent appraisal.

Although your financial year 2026 SMSF audit might not be taking place for some months, the process can be much smoother where SMSF trustees are proactive and start to compile this evidence in advance, rather than waiting for the auditor's request.